

October 24, 2018

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Re: Rebuttal Comments concerning Mark v. Crunch (Doc. 02-278)

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The robodialing industry is trying to SPOOK us into believing their clients will turn into GHOSTS unless they can roboblast them. ACA actually claims "lawful debt collection calls have been curtailed" and they are concerned consumers "are deprived of information regarding outstanding balances." My client, Mrs. Thomas, wonders how many robocalls she would have received if the debt collection industry didn't feel so "curtailed" because she received over 5,000 after she asked for the calls to "stop" concerning a debt she did not owe. (Please see Exhibit A, a calendar illustrating a "legitimate" debt collector/bank's robodialing campaign of 1,184 robocalls in just 2 months) The reality is, whether somebody owes money or not, they don't need to be called 1000s of times under some false premise that they need to be reminded they owe money or that the debt collector is trying to "HELP".

The FRIGHTENING fraudsters, that we all want to stop, are gathering around the WITCH'S CAULDRON with the robodialers wishing for an autodialing definition that applies to a mythical system that does not exist in our society today. They also want to conjure up some "human intervention" test that would qualify FRANKENSTEIN as a human and make their autodialers not autodialers.

It's hard to say with a straight face that this is what Congress intended in 1991, but that has not stopped a MONSTER push. The TCPA stands for the Telephone CONSUMER Protection Act not the Telephone CHAMBER (of Commerce) Protection Act. The people of America are eagerly awaiting for the FCC to answer the bell and protect them.

Respectfully submitted,

William Peerce Howard, Esq.

The Consumer Protection Firm 4030 Henderson Boulevard Tampa, FL 33629

Phone (813) 500-1500 Fax (813) 435-2369 TheConsumerProtectionFirm.com